# Stereoselective Synthesis of Seven-Membered Carbocycles from 2-Amino-1,3-butadienes and Vinyl Chromium Fischer-Type Carbenes 

José Barluenga,*, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Fernando Aznar, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Alfredo Martín, ${ }^{\dagger}$ and Jesús T. Vázquez ${ }^{\ddagger}$<br>Contribution from the Instituto Universitario de Química Organometálica Enrique Moles, Unidad Asociada al C.S.I.C., Campus del Cristo, Universidad de Oviedo, 33071-Oviedo, Spain, and Centro de Productos Naturales Orgánicos "Antonio González", Universidad de la Laguna, 38206 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

Received May 10, $1995^{8}$


#### Abstract

Seven-membered ring carbocycles are prepared by reaction of easily avaliable 2 -amino-1,3-butadienes with vinyl Fischer carbenes. Hydrolysis of the cycloadduct initially formed gives rise to cyclohepta-1,3-diones with total regio- and stereoselectivity in a one-pot process. When 2 -aminobutadienes bearing a prolinol derivative as a chiral auxiliary are used, the corresponding cyclic diketones are obtained with very high enantiomeric excesses. The absolute configuration of the stereogenic centers generated was determined with the aid of ROESY and CD measurements.


## Introduction

The preparation of functionalized seven-membered carbocycles, in a stereoselective manner, has been an area of considerable interest because the cycloheptane moiety is present in a variety of natural products. ${ }^{1}$ The most general stereoselective approaches have been through expansion of sixmembered rings, ${ }^{2}$ annulation reactions of dienes and allyl cations ${ }^{3}$ or nucleophilic vinylcarbenes, ${ }^{4}$ annulations of heterocyclic betains and alkenes, ${ }^{5}$ intramolecular cyclizations of unsaturated carbonylic compounds promoted by metal complexes, ${ }^{\text {1a }, 6}$ transition metal catalyzed [ $5+2$ ] cycloadditions of vinylcyclopropanes and alkynes, ${ }^{7}$ and the Cope rearrangement of cis-divinylcyclopropanes. ${ }^{8}$ Conceptually, the latter reaction

[^0]should lead to cycloheptadienes with high stereoselectivities and predictable stereochemistry, since they are formed in a concerted process through a boat transition state.

The preparation of cis-divinylcyclopropanes can be achieved by the cyclopropanation reaction of vinylcarbenes and 1,3dienes, but in many cases, the cyclopropanes thus prepared are accompanied by other side products arising from the rearrangement of vinylcarbenes. ${ }^{9}$ To minimize these competing processes, more stable carbenes have been used. Thus, rhodiumcatalyzed decomposition of diazoalkanes in the presence of 1,3dienes gives rise to cycloheptadienes. ${ }^{10}$
On the other hand, isolable Fischer-type carbene complexes have been widely used in the cyclopropanation of olefins and dienes, ${ }^{11}$ but the reaction of Fischer vinylcarbenes and 1,3-dienes usually leads to $[4+2]$ cycloadducts. ${ }^{12}$ In fact, there are few reports of cycloheptadiene preparations by the [ $4+3$ ] cycloaddition of these systems. ${ }^{12 \mathrm{~b}, 13}$
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## Scheme 1



Recently, we have been engaged in the study of the behavior and synthetic applications of 2 -amino-1,3-dienes. The initial results of their reactions with Fischer carbene complexes have shown that these dienes can act either as dienophiles toward tungsten vinylcarbene complexes ${ }^{12 j-k}$ or as activated enamines in cyclopropanation reactions when chromium derivatives are used. ${ }^{13 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}}$
As an extension of our earlier work, we set out to investigate the scope and generality of the tandem cyclopropanation-Cope rearrangement reaction between 2 -amino-1,3-butadienes and Fischer vinylcarbene complexes. In this paper, we report the stereospecific preparation of cycloheptenone and cycloheptadione derivatives. ${ }^{14}$ The unexpected rearrangement of some of these products to $\gamma$-lactone derivatives and the stereochemical implications of the chiral pyrrolidine substituent in the asymmetric [ $4+3$ ] cycloadditions with chiral 2 -aminodienes are also described.

## Results and Discussion

2-Amino-1,3-butadienes 1 (Scheme 1) react with Fischer methoxyvinylcarbene complexes 2 (molar ratio $1: 1$ ) leading to 1-amino-6-methoxycycloheptadienes 3 in a totally stereospecific manner. The stereochemistry of the resulting cycloadducts is dependent on the nature of the substitution in the $\mathrm{C}-4$ position of the diene. Thus, when the diene has $E$ configuration in the $\mathrm{C}-3-\mathrm{C}-4$ double bond ( $\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}$ ), only cycloheptadienes with cis relative stereochemistry in positions 3 and 4 are formed. On the other hand, the $Z$ diene $1 d\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}\right)$ affords only the trans isomer of the cycloadduct.

The structure of the postulated adducts 3 were inferred from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ analysis of the crude reaction mixture that contains 3 as well as variable amounts of the $[4+2]$ cycloadducts. ${ }^{15}$ Stereochemical assignment was not possible from this analysis, and attempted purification of 3 led to partially hydrolyzed enamines and/or cycloheptadienes with a rearranged unsaturated structure. Due to this, the stereochemistry of compounds $\mathbf{3}$ was ascertained from their hydrolysis derivatives 4 (Table l).
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Table 1, 1,3-Cycloheptadiones 4 Prepared

| compd | $t$ (h) | solvent | diene | carbene | yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 a}$ | 24 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $\mathbf{1 a}$ | $\mathbf{2 a}$ | 82 |
| $\mathbf{4 a}$ | 24 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $\mathbf{1 e}$ | $\mathbf{2 a}$ | 58 |
| $\mathbf{4 b}$ | 24 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $\mathbf{1 a}$ | $\mathbf{2 b}$ | 71 |
| $\mathbf{4 c}$ | 3 | THF | $\mathbf{1 a}$ | $\mathbf{2 d}$ | 39 |
| $\mathbf{4 d}$ | 48 | THF | $\mathbf{1 e}$ | $\mathbf{2 c}$ | 37 |
| $\mathbf{4 e}$ | 48 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $\mathbf{1 b}$ | $\mathbf{2 a}$ | 78 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 48 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $\mathbf{1 b}$ | $\mathbf{2 b}$ | 76 |
| $\mathbf{4 g}$ | 48 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $\mathbf{1 d}$ | $\mathbf{2 a}$ | 62 |
| $\mathbf{4 h}$ | 3 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $\mathbf{1 c}$ | $\mathbf{2 a}$ | 81 |
| $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{i}$ | 48 | THF | $\mathbf{1 c}$ | $\mathbf{2 d}$ | 35 |



Figure 1, Some selected NOEs for $\mathbf{4 g}$.
Hydrolysis of 3 was accomplished by treatment of their solutions in THF with 3 N aqueous HCl at room temperature for 3 h . Under these conditions, only one of the possible epimers in the $\alpha$ ketone centers was obtained. Longer reaction times or higher temperatures led to mixtures of epimers.

The relative configurations of the asymmetric centers of cycloheptadiones $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathrm{h}$ were assigned by single-crystal X-ray analysis. ${ }^{16}$ The structures of the other cycloheptadiones were deduced by the comparison of their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra with those of compounds $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{h}$ as well as some additional NOE experiments. As an example, the trans relative configuration of carbons 3 and 4 in the cycloheptadione 4 g was deduced from comparison of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra of the crude reaction mixtures obtained from dienes $1 \mathbf{1 a}, \mathrm{~g}$ and by NOE experiments (stationary phase) performed using $\mathbf{4 g}$ as a model (Figure 1). Thus, saturation of the hydrogen $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ produces positive NOEs in $\mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{a}}$ and the methyl hydrogens, which points to the syn configuration of these groups. Moreover, when the signal of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is saturated, a positive NOE is observed for $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ and no effect is observed in $\mathrm{H}_{4}$, indicating a cis relationship between $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$.

[^1]Scheme 2


Scheme 3


The formation of seven-membered carbocycles 3 can be understood in terms of carbene ligand transfer from 2 to the enamine carbon-carbon double bond of $\mathbf{1}$, giving rise to cyclopropane derivatives 5 (Scheme 2). Further Cope rearrangement of these cis-divinylcyclopropanes occurs under the reaction conditions to yield $3 .{ }^{17}$ The cyclopropanation reaction appears to be totally cis-selective at room temperature, since no trans-divinylcyclopropane derivatives were detected with exception of the reaction between $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 d}$. In this case, the reaction does not take place under the standard conditions (THF or $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, room temperature) but upon heating at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (THF, $3 \mathrm{~h})$. After hydrolytic workup, cycloheptadione 4 c could be isolated in $26 \%$ yield as well as $17 \%$ of the trans-divinylcyclopropane 6. Compound 6 was transformed into 4 c in $76 \%$ yield, after hydrolysis, by heating at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in toluene overnight.

The different nature of the two carbonyl protecting groups in the cycloheptadienes 3 allowed us to perform selective deprotection of both ketones (Scheme 3). Thus, elution of morpholine-containing cycloheptadienes on silica gel yielded methoxycycloheptenones 7 in $35-51 \%$ overall yield (Table 2). On the other hand, aminocycloheptenones 8 can be obtained from dienes 1, derived from $N$-methylaniline, in 35-48\% overall yield by treating the crude reaction mixture with aqueous 1 N HCl for l h (Table 2). The structures of $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{8}$ were inferred from their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra. These results were confirmed by total hydrolysis of 7 and 8 in 3 N HCl (see Scheme 1) which yielded the respective cycloheptadiones 4.

By the use of diene $\mathbf{1 h}$ in which the $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ group is $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OSi}$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ (Scheme 4), the reaction takes place to afford the

[^2]Table 2, Methoxycycloheptenones 7 and Aminocycloheptenones 8 Prepared

| compd | diene | carbene | yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7a | $\mathbf{1 e}$ | 2a | 51 |
| 7b | $\mathbf{1 e}$ | 2b | 42 |
| 7c | $\mathbf{1 f}$ | $\mathbf{2 a}$ | 43 |
| 7d | $\mathbf{1 g}$ | $\mathbf{2 a}$ | 35 |
| $\mathbf{8 a}$ | $\mathbf{1 a}$ | $\mathbf{2 a}$ | 38 |
| 8b | $\mathbf{1 c}$ | 2a | 45 |
| 8c | $\mathbf{1 d}$ | 2a | 42 |

Scheme 4


Scheme 5

cycloheptadiene derivative, but after hydrolysis, the hemiacetal 9 was obtained instead of the expected cycloheptadione 10. The structure of 9 was deduced from NMR analysis.
Hemiacetals 9 are stable in their solid state but, in methanolic solution, decompose slowly to $\gamma$-lactones 11 (Scheme 5). The structure and stereochemistry of $\mathbf{1 1 b}$ were assigned from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR analysis as well as the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ correlation and NOESY experiments (Figure 2). Thus, the selection of the $\gamma$-lactone between the two possible structures of $\gamma$ - and $\delta$-lactones was inferred from the three-bond ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ correlation between $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ as well as the correlation of $\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}$ with $\mathrm{C}_{7}$. The trans arrangement of the methyl group was deduced from the positive NOEs between $\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}-\mathrm{H}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2}$. The structure and relative configuration of 11a were deduced from comparison of its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra with those of $\mathbf{1 1 b}$.
In view of the high stereoselection found in the formation of the seven-membered carbocycles, we next turned our attention to the enantioselective preparation of these compounds. We have previously reported the $[4+2]$ and $[4+3]$ cycloaddition reactions of dienes bearing a chiral amino group in C-2 position. ${ }^{12 f . g, 13 d, 18}$ Thus, dienes $\mathbf{1 2}$ react with complexes 2 , giving rise to cycloheptadienes $\mathbf{1 3}$ along with minor amounts

[^3]
(a)

(b)

Figure 2, Some selected crosspeaks for 11a in (a) ${ }^{\boldsymbol{t}} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ correlation and (b) NOESY experiments.

Scheme 6


Table 3, Cycloheptadiones 4* Prepared

| compd | diene | carbene | yield (\%) | ee (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 *}^{*} \mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 a}$ | $\mathbf{2 a}$ | 45 | 86 |
| $\mathbf{4}^{*} \mathbf{e}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ | $\mathbf{2 a}$ | 52 | 81 |
| $\mathbf{4 *} \mathbf{f}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ | $\mathbf{2 b}$ | 55 | 72 |
| $\mathbf{4}^{*} \mathbf{h}$ | $\mathbf{1 2} \mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{2 a}$ | 40 | 55 |

## Scheme 7


of the $[4+2]$ cycloadducts (Scheme 6). Hydrolysis of the cycloadducts 13 in 3 N HCl gives rise to the same cycloheptadiones $4^{*}$ obtained from dienes containing morpholine or $N$-methylaniline, but optically active (Table 3).

The enantiomeric excesses of compounds $4^{*}$ were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR experiments with the $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{hfc})_{3}$ shift reagent (4*e,f) or by HPLC analysis performed with a Chiracell-ODH column (UV-vis array detector) ( $4 * a, h$ ).

The absolute configurations of the chiral centers in these compounds were deduced from those of 15 (Scheme 7), obtained by CD analysis. Compound 15 was prepared by reaction of 4*a with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ at $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to yield the diol 14 as a mixture of diastereoisomers. The major isomer was separated by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane-ethyl acetate (1: 6)) and isolated in $71 \%$ yield. Further acylation of 14 with $p$-bromobenzoyl chloride in pyridine yielded 15 in $79 \%$ yield.

The relative configurations of the two hydroxyl groups as


Figure 3, ROESY experiments for 15.


Figure 4, Most stable conformation for 15.
well as the most stable conformation for compound 14 were determined from NMR spectroscopic data and molecular mechanics calculations. A ROESY experiment $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ carried out on this compound and its di-p-bromobenzoate derivative 15 showed the same NOEs (Figure 3) for both compounds, except for that between $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{8}$ missing in the diol compound. Other NOEs in agreement with Figure 3 were observed together with a NOE between $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ which confirmed the relative configuration of the hydroxyl group at the 1 position and indicated the existence of a conformational equilibrium in solution. Thus, the relative configuration of the two hydroxyl groups proved to be as shown in Figure 3.

In order to confirm the conformation derived from ROESY experiments, MMX molecular mechanics calculations of the seven-membered-ring compounds 14 and 15 were carried out. ${ }^{19}$ This study revealed several energy minima conformers, but only the most stable one found for 15 , the twist chair conformation shown in Figure 4, is in excellent agreement with the coupling constants and NOEs observed for this compound, the dihedral angle $\mathrm{O} 6-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Ol}$ being $-101^{\circ} .{ }^{20}$

[^4]

Figure 5, CD spectrum for 15.
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Figure 6, Approximation model for the cyclopropanation reaction.
The absolute stereochemistry of compound 15 was determined by applying the dibenzoate chirality method, an extension of the more general circular dichroic exciton chirality method which has proved to be an excellent tool for the determination of absolute configurations. ${ }^{21}$ Its UV spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ exhibited the intense intramolecular charge transfer ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) band of the benzoate chromophores at $243 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 38200)$, the electric transition moments of which are polarized along the long axis of the chromophores. ${ }^{21,22}$ The CD spectrum (Figure 5) ${ }^{23}$ exhibited split Cotton effects of the exciton coupling type and was unaffected by the furan chromophore absorbing around 200 nm . The observed negative first Cotton effect at $249 \mathrm{~nm}(\Delta \epsilon$ -16.6 ) and the positive second Cotton effect at 233 nm ( $\Delta \epsilon$ +6.7 ) led to the conclusion that the exciton chirality between transition moments of the two benzoate chromophores is negative and left-handed. This unambiguously leads to the absolute configuration shown for compound 15 .
The stereochemical results of the asymmetric tandem cyclo-propanation-Cope rearrangement reaction of Fischer vinylcarbenes and 2 -amino-1,3-butadienes allow us to depict a model of approximation of the diene and carbene complex. The two favored conformers that dienes $\mathbf{1 2}$ can adopt in solution and secure the coplanarity of the nitrogen substituents and the enaminic double bond of the diene are depicted in Figure 6 as I and II. These two conformers can coexist, but probably, I is the one of low energy because the disfavorable steric interaction

[^5]between $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ and the methoxymethyl group attached at the pyrrolidine skeleton is minimized. In this situation, attack on the upside face of the diene (as depicted in Figure 6) is favored over that on the downside face, and therefore, the stereoisomer 16 of the cis-divinylcyclopropane would be formed preferentially. Evolution of 16 and further hydrolysis yield cycloheptadiones $4^{*}$ with the correct relative and absolute configurations of their chiral centers.

In conclusion, we describe in this paper a simple and versatile single-pot method of synthesis of seven-membered-ring carbocycles. The process takes place with total regio- and stereoselectivity and very high enantiomeric excesses when chiral aminodienes are employed. The aminodiene can be prepared in a very simple way from commercially available starting materials. Moreover, the chiral auxiliary is removed under very mild conditions. The application of this methodology in the synthesis of polycyclic systems is currently under study in our department.

## Experimental Section

Materials, All reactions were run under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. THF, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, hexane, and pyridine were dried and distilled upon standard procedures before use. Solvents used in the extractions were distilled prior to use. All other reagents were of the best comercial grade available. Column chromatography was carried out on silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh). All melting points are uncorrected. NMR spectra were recorded at 300 or 200 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and 75 or 50.3 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, with tetramethylsilane as an internal standard, and chemical shift values are given in $\delta$ (ppm). Mass spectra were obtained by EI (70 ev). IR spectra are given in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

Fischer carbene complexes ${ }^{24}$ and 2 -amino-1,3-butadienes ${ }^{25}$ were prepared according to the methods described in the literature.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 1,3-Cycloheptadiones 4, To a solution of a Fischer carbene complex $2(1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 8 mL of the dry solvent at room temperature was added 1 mmol of 2 -aminodiene 1 (12). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for the time indicated and concentrated at reduced pressure ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{Torr}$ ). The crude was dissolved in dry hexane, filtered through a pad of Celite, and cooled at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight to induce precipitation/crystallization of $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ or $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ complexes. The clear solution was decanted and concentrated at reduced pressure (water aspirator). The residue was dissolved in 10 mL of THF and 10 mL of aqueous 3 N HCl was added. The mixture was strirred for 3 h and extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated. The crude was chromatographed in silica gel using a mixture of hexane and ethyl acetate (3:1) unless other wise indicated.
cis-4-(2-Furyl)-3-(methoxymethyl)-2-methyl-1,6-cycloheptadione (4a), Pentacarbonyl[1-methoxy-trans-3-(2-furyl)-2-propenylidene]chromium $(0)$ ( $\mathbf{2 a}$ ) ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.33 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was treated with $(E)-N$-(4-methoxy-2-methyl-1-methylene-2-butenyl)- $N$-methylaniline (1a) ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.22$ g ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ for 24 h to yield $0.21 \mathrm{~g}(82 \%): R_{f}=0.26$; recrystallized from methanol (white prisms), mp $101-102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.18$ (d, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.4-2.5(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.1,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.40(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.1,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.61(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.78(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.09(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 14.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 39.6(\mathrm{CH}), 43.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 45.7(\mathrm{CH}), 50.1(\mathrm{CH}), 57.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 60.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 67.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 105.4(\mathrm{CH}), 110.1(\mathrm{CH}), 141.5(\mathrm{CH}), 155.9(\mathrm{C})$, 201.1 (C), 202.4 (C) ppm; IR (KBr) $1702,1715 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 67.18 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.25$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 67.02 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.12$. For $4 * a$, $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-24\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$, c $3.9 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, ee $\left.90 \%\right)$.

[^6]cis-3-(Methoxymethyl)-2-methyl-4-phenyl-1,6-cycloheptadione (4b), Pentacarbonyl[1-methoxy-trans-3-phenyl-2-propenylidene]chromi$\mathrm{um}(0)$ (2b) ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.34$ ) was treated with ( $E$ )-N-(4-methoxy-2-methyl-1-methylene-2-butenyl)- N -methylaniline ( $\mathbf{1 a}$ ) ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.22 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ for 24 h to yield $0.18 \mathrm{~g}(71 \%): R_{f}=0.30$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.15$ $(\mathrm{d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.1,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.88(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3,16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.1$, 3.2 Hz ), $3.39(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.1,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.8$, $1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.61-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.22-7.40$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 14.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 45.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 47.4(\mathrm{CH}), 49.9$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 50.4(\mathrm{CH}), 58.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) 60.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 67.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 126.8(\mathrm{CH}), 127.1$ (CH), 128.5 (CH), 143,1 (C), 202.7 (C), 206.2 (C) ppm; IR (neat) 1679, $1716 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 73.82 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.74$. Found: C, 73.99; H, 7.64.

1,2-cis-2-(Methoxymethyl)-3-methyl-4,6-bicyclo[5,4,0]undecadione (4c), Pentacarbonyl[methoxy-(1-cyclohexenyl)methylidene]chromium $(0)$ ( $\mathbf{2 d}$ ) ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.32 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was treated with $(E)-N$-( 4 -methoxy-2-methyl-1-methylene-2-butenyl)- N -methylaniline (1a) ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.22$ $\mathrm{g})$ in THF at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h to yield $0.06 \mathrm{~g}(26 \%): R_{f}=0.20$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.83(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.9,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.09(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.13-$ 1.37 (m, 2H), 1.41-1.49 (m, 2H), 1.56-1.79 (m, 2H), 2.09-2.21 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.78-2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.37-3.43(\mathrm{~m}+$ $\mathrm{s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d} 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.0,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4,34(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 10.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $40.6(\mathrm{CH}), 42.0(\mathrm{CH}), 47.1(\mathrm{CH}), 54.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 58.7(\mathrm{CH}), 60.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $73.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 201.0(\mathrm{C}), 203.4(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{ppm}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}$, 70.56; H, 9.30 . Found: C, $70.70 ;$ H, 9.25 . Also obtained was 0.06 g of $6(17 \%): R_{f}=0.54 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.30(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.51-1.69$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.1(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.57(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.65$ (s broad, 1 H ), $6.63-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 14.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 45.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.9(\mathrm{CH}), 49.7(\mathrm{CH}), 50.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 58.1(\mathrm{CH})$, $60.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 67.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 113.9(\mathrm{CH}), 114.3(\mathrm{CH}), 119.0(\mathrm{CH}), 129.7$ (CH), 144.9 (C), 156.2 (C), 203.1 (C), 206.6 (C) ppm; IR (neat) 1502, $1599 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 77.38 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.15 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.10$. Found: C, 77.29; H, 9.09; N, 4.06.
cis-4-(3-Hydroxyphenyl)-3-(methoxymethyl)-2-methyl-1,6-cycloheptadione (4d), Pentacarbonyl[3-(3-(trimethylsiloxy)phenyl)-1-meth-oxy-trans-2-propenylidene]chromium( 0 ) (2c) ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.40 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was treated with ( $E$ )-N-(4-methoxy-2-methyl-1-methylene-2-butenyl)morpholine ( $\mathbf{1 e}$ ) ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.20 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in THF for 48 h to yield $0.10 \mathrm{~g}(37 \%)$ : $R_{f}=0.54$ (hexane-ethyl acetate, 1:2); recrystallized from dichloromethane (yellow prisms), mp $165-167^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.09$ (d, 3H, J $=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.78(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=7.0,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.36(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.2,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.46-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.94(\mathrm{~s}$ broad, 1 H$), 6.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 14.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 45.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $46.9(\mathrm{CH}), 49.7(\mathrm{CH}), 50.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 58.1(\mathrm{CH}), 60.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 67.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $113.9(\mathrm{CH}), 114.3(\mathrm{CH}), 119.0(\mathrm{CH}), 129.7(\mathrm{CH}), 144.9(\mathrm{C}), 156.2$ (C), 203.1 (C), 206.6 (C) ppm; IR (KBr) 1682, 1718, $3338 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, $69.55 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.30$. Found: C, $69.69 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.25$.
cis-3-((Benzyloxy)methyl)-4-(2-furyl)-2-methyl-1,6-cycloheptadione (4e), Pentacarbonyl[1-methoxy-trans-3-(2-furyl)-2-propenylidene]chromium( 0 ) ( $\mathbf{2 a}$ ) ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.33 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was treated with ( $E$ ) $-N$-( $4-$ (benzyloxy)-2-methyl-1-methylene-2-butenyl)- $N$-methylaniline (1b) (1 $\mathrm{mmol}, 0.29 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ for 48 h to yield $0.25 \mathrm{~g}(78 \%): R_{f}=0.33$; recrystallized from dichloromethane (white prisms), $\mathrm{mp} 119-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.07(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.30-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.88$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.23(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.35(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.2,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.50(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.61-$ $3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.11-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 14.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 39.7(\mathrm{CH}), 43.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 45.7(\mathrm{CH}), 49.9(\mathrm{CH})$, $60.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 64.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 73.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 105.4(\mathrm{CH}), 110.0(\mathrm{CH}), 127.6$ (CH), 127.9 (CH), 128.1 (CH), 136.9 (C), 141.4 (CH), 155.7 (C), 201.3 (C), 202.9 (C) ppm. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 73.60 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.79$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 73.66 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.83$. For $\mathbf{4}^{*} \mathrm{e},[\alpha]^{20 \mathrm{D}}-4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$, c $5.0 \times 10^{-3}$ $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$, ee $81 \%$ ).
cis-3-((Benzyloxy)methyl)-2-methyl-4-phenyl-1,6-cycloheptadione (4f), Pentacarbonyl[1-methoxy-trans-3-pheny1-2-propenylidene]chromium(0) (2b) ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.34 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was treated with $(E)-N-(4-$
(benzyloxy)-2-methyl-1-methylene-2-butenyl)- $N$-methylaniline (1b) (1 $\mathrm{mmol}, 0.29 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ for 48 h to yield $0.25 \mathrm{~g}(76 \%): R_{f}=0.30$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.16(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.93-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.65$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.28-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.70-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.24$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.26(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.20-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$ ppm ; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 15.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 45.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 47.4(\mathrm{CH}), 49.8(\mathrm{CH}), 50.2$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 60.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 64.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 73.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 126.7(\mathrm{CH}), 127.0(\mathrm{CH})$, $127.7(\mathrm{CH}), 128.1(\mathrm{CH}), 128.2(\mathrm{CH}), 128.4(\mathrm{CH}), 137.0(\mathrm{C}), 142.8$ (C), 202.9 (C), 206.6 (C) ppm; IR (KBr) $1691,1709 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 78.54 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.19$. Found: C, $78.45 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.15$. For $4 * \mathbf{f}$, $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+22\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$, c $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, ee $\left.72 \%\right)$.

4-trans-4-(2-Fury)-3-(methoxymethyl)-2-methyl-1,6-cycloheptadione ( $\mathbf{4 g}$ ), Pentacarbonyl[1-methoxy-trans-3-(2-furyl)-2-propenylidene]chromium $(0)$ ( 2 a ) ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.33 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was treated with ( $Z$ )- N -(4-methoxy-2-methyl-1-methylene-2-butenyl)- N -methylaniline ( $\mathbf{1 d}$ ) ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.22$ g ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ for 48 h to yield $0.16 \mathrm{~g}(62 \%): R_{f}=0.33$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta$ $1.16(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.4,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.76-3.02$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.66(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.83(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.18(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.2,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 13.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 35.6(\mathrm{CH}), 44.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 44.8(\mathrm{CH})$, $45.6(\mathrm{CH}), 58.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 59.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 69.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 106.1(\mathrm{CH}), 110.1(\mathrm{CH})$, 141.6 (CH), 155.6 (C), 203.9 (C), 204.6 (C) ppm. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 67.18 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.25$. Found: C, 67.24; H, 7.20.
cis-6-(2-Furyl)-2,4-bicyclo[5,4,0]undecadione (4h), Pentacarbonyl-[1-methoxy-trans-3-(2-furyl)-2-propenylidene]chromium $(0)$ ) ( 2 a ) ( 1 mmol , $0.33 \mathrm{~g})$ was treated with $N-[1-(1-c y c l o h e x e n y l)$ ethenyll $]-N$-methylaniline (1c) ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.21 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ for 3 h to yield $0.20 \mathrm{~g}(81 \%): R_{f}=$ 0.38 ; recrystallized from hot hexane (white needles), mp $126-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.89(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.9,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.18(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.5$, $4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.36(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.9,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.47-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-$ $1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=18.1,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=18.1,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.06-3.14(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.94(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.0,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.37$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.06(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.34(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $3.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 21.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $23.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.5(\mathrm{CH}), 41.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 42.7(\mathrm{CH})$, $54.2(\mathrm{CH}), 61.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 105.2(\mathrm{CH}), 110.1(\mathrm{CH}), 141.5(\mathrm{CH}), 156.0$ (C), 200.7 (C), 201.1 (C) ppm. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 73.15; H, 7.37. Found: C, 72.97; H, 7.34. For $4^{*} h,[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{D}-53\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, c\right.$ $6.15 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, ee $55 \%$ ).
meso-4,6-Tricyclo $\left[5,4,0,4^{2,3}\right]$ pentadecadione ( 4 i ), Pentacarbonyl-[methoxy-(1-cyclohexenyl)methylidene]chromium( 0 ) ( 2 d ) ( 1 mmol , $0.32 \mathrm{~g})$ was treated with $N-[1-(1-$ cyclohexenyl)ethenyl $]-N$-methylaniline (1c) ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.21 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in THF for 48 h to yield $0.08 \mathrm{~g}(35 \%): R_{f}=$ 0.47 ; recrystallized from hot hexane (white needles), $\mathrm{mp} 94-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.16-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.91(\mathrm{~m}$, 4H), 2.33-2.49 (m, 2H), 2.61-2.72 (m, 2H), 3.34 (d, 1H, $J=10.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.18(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 22.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 24.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 26.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 31.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 42.4(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 53.0(\mathrm{CH}$ $\times 2), 60.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 203.4(\mathrm{C} \times 2) \mathrm{ppm}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}$, 76.88 ; H, 9.46 . Found: C, 76.69 ; H, 9.50.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Methoxycycloheptenones 7. The same procedure as that for compounds 4 was used, except for the hydrolysis method. After elimination of the metal complexes, the crude reaction mixture was chromatographed in silica gel (hexaneethyl acetate, 3:1).
cis-4-(2-Furyl)-6-methoxy-3-(methoxymethy1)-2-methyl-6-cyclo-hepten-1-one (7a), Pentacarbonyl[1-methoxy-trans-3-(2-fury1)-2-propenylidene]chromium $(0)(\mathbf{2 a})(1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.33 \mathrm{~g})$ was treated with $(E)$ N -(4-methoxy-2-methyl-1-methylene-2-butenyl)morpholine (1e) ( 1 mmol , 0.20 g ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ for 24 h to yield $0.13 \mathrm{~g}(51 \%): R_{f}=0.33$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.18(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.52-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $17.5,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.83(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $17.5,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.9,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.39(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.9,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.52-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.09(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.3,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 15.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 34.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.9(\mathrm{CH}), 43.6$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 49.2(\mathrm{CH}), 55.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 58.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 69.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 104.9(\mathrm{CH}), 105.7$
(CH), 109.9 (CH), 140.8 (CH), 156.8 (C), 169.5 (C), 201.2 (C) ppm. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 68.16; $\mathrm{H}, 7.63$. Found: C, 68.00 ; H , 7.67 .
cis-6-Methoxy-3-(methoxymethyl)-2-methyl-4-phenyl-6-cyclo-hepten-1-one (7b), Pentacarbonyl[1-methoxy-trans-3-phenyl-2-propenylidene]chromium $(0)(\mathbf{2 b})(1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.34 \mathrm{~g})$ was treated with $(E)$ -$N$-(4-methoxy-2-methyl-1-methylene-2-butenyl)morpholine (1e) ( 1 mmol , 0.20 g ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ for 24 h to yield $0.12 \mathrm{~g}(42 \%): R_{f}=0.31$; recrystallized from dichloromethane (white prisms), mp $115-116^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.22-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.0,3.5,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.93(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.07(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ), 3.24 (d, d, d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.0,11.4,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.35(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=0.6$ Hz ), 3.37 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.51-3.62(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.4,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $5.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 15.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 36.2$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 45.4(\mathrm{CH}), 47.2(\mathrm{CH}), 50.2(\mathrm{CH}), 55.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 58.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 68.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 105.6(\mathrm{CH}), 126.3(\mathrm{CH}), 127.2(\mathrm{CH}), 128.2(\mathrm{CH}), 144.5(\mathrm{C})$, 171.1 (C), 201.8 (C) ppm. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 74.42 ; \mathrm{H}$, 8.08. Found: C, 74.25; H, 8.06.
cis-6-(2-Furyl)-4-methoxy-3-bicyclo[5,4,0]undecen-2-one (7c), Pen-tacarbonyl[1-methoxy-trans-3-(2-furyl)-2-propenylidene]chromi$\mathrm{um}(0)(\mathbf{2 a})(1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.33 \mathrm{~g})$ was treated with $1-(1$-cyclohexenyl)ethenylmorpholine ( $\mathbf{1 f}$ ) ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.19 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ for 24 h to yield $0.11 \mathrm{~g}(43 \%): R_{f}=0.46$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.02-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.58$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=18.0,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=18.0,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 3.30 (d, d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.5,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.57 (s, 3 H ), 5.53 (s, 1 H ), 5.98 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.4,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 22.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $34.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.4(\mathrm{CH}), 41.1(\mathrm{CH}), 53.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 55.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 104.3(\mathrm{CH})$, 107.4 (CH), 109.1 (CH), $141.0(\mathrm{CH}), 157.6$ (C), 170.3 (C), 200.9 (C) ppm. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 73.82; $\mathrm{H}, 7.74$. Found: C, 73.99; H, 7.70 .
4-trans-4-(2-Furyl)-6-methoxy-3-(methoxymethyl)-2-methyl-6-cy-lohepten-1-one (7d), Pentacarbonyl[1-methoxy-trans-3-(2-furyl)-2propenylidene]chromium(0) ( 2 a ) ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.33 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was treated with (Z)-N-(4-methoxy-2-methyl-1-methylene-2-butenyl)morpholine (1g) (1 $\mathrm{mmol}, 0.20 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ for 48 h to yield $0.09 \mathrm{~g}(35 \%): R_{f}=0.17$; recrystallized from hexane-dichloromethane (white prisms), mp 103$104{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.15(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7$, $4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.59(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.9,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.92-3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ $\mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 14.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 37.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.4(\mathrm{CH}), 44.0(\mathrm{CH}), 55.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 58.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 71.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 106.0(\mathrm{CH}), 107.3(\mathrm{CH}), 109.9(\mathrm{CH})$, 141.3 (CH), 157.4 (C), 174.8 (C), 200.6 (C) ppm. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 68.16; H, 7.63. Found: C, $68.01 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.66$.
General Procedure for the Synthesis of Aminocycloheptenones 8, The same procedure as that for compounds 4 was used, except for the hydrolysis method. After elimination of the metal complexes, the crude reaction mixture was dissolved in 10 mL of THF and 10 mL of aqueous 1 N HCl was added. The mixture was strirred for 1 h , then 10 mL of 3 N NaOH was added and the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated. The crude was chromatographed in silica gel using a mixture of hexane and ethyl acetate (3:1).
cis-4-(2-Furyl)-3-(methoxymethyl)-2-methyl-1-( $N$-methyl- $N$ -phenylamino)-1-cyclohepten-6-one (8a), Pentacarbony1[1-methoxy-trans-3-(2-furyl)-2-propenylidene]chromium(0) (2a) ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.33 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was treated with ( $E$ )-N-(4-methoxy-2-methy1-1-methylene-2-butenyl)N -methylaniline (1a) ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.22 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ for 24 h to yield $0.13 \mathrm{~g}(38 \%): R_{f}=0.63$; 'H NMR $\delta 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.97 (s, 3H), 3.12-3.23 (m, 2H), 3.34 (s, 3H), 3.37-3.51 (m, 2H), $3.66-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6,13(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H} J=3.4$, $1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.64-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 14.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 36.7(\mathrm{CH}), 38.5(\mathrm{CH}), 43.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $45.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 58.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 71.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 106.6(\mathrm{CH}), 110.0$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 112.6(\mathrm{CH}), 117.0(\mathrm{CH}), 128.9(\mathrm{CH}), 134.6(\mathrm{CH}), 134.7(\mathrm{C})$, 141.3 (C), 146.6 (C), 154.3 (C), 207.2 (C) ppm. Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 74.31 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.42 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.13$. Found: C, $74.20 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.44 ; \mathrm{N}$, 4.10.
cis-6-(2-Furyl)-2-( $N$-methyl- $N$-phenylamino)-1-bicyclo[5,4,0]-undecen-4-one (8b), Pentacarbonyl[1-methoxy-trans-3-(2-furyl)-2propenylidene]chromium $(0)(\mathbf{2 a})(1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.33 \mathrm{~g})$ was treated with $N$-[1-(1-cyclohexenyl)ethenyl $]-N$-methylaniline (1c) ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.21 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ for 3 h to yield $0.15 \mathrm{~g}(45 \%): R_{f}=0.42 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.72-$ $0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.02-1.41(\mathrm{~m}-4 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.41-2.87(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.26$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.5,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.57-6.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 26.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $28.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 36.6(\mathrm{CH}), 42.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 44.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $45.3(\mathrm{CH}), 105.9(\mathrm{CH}), 110.1(\mathrm{CH}), 112.7(\mathrm{CH}), 117.0(\mathrm{CH}), 127.5$ (C), 129.1 (CH), 141.3 (CH), 141.8 (C), 155.7 (C), 206.5 (C) ppm. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 78.77 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.51 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.18$. Found: C, 78.99; H, 7.54; N, 4.15.
trans-4-(2-Furyl)-3-(methoxymethyl)-2-methyl-1-( $N$-methyl- $N$ -phenylamino)-1-cyclohepten-6-one (8c), Pentacarbonyl[1-methoxy-trans-3-(2-furyl)-2-propenylidene]chromium(0) ( $\mathbf{2 a}$ ) ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.33 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was treated with ( $Z$ )-N-(4-methoxy-2-methyl-1-methylene-2-butenyl)N -methylaniline (1d) ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.22 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ for 48 h to yield $0.14 \mathrm{~g}(42 \%): R_{f}=0.26 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $16.5,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.87-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.5,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 3.45 (s, 3H), 3.51-3.64 (m, 2H), $3.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.76(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.17(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.37(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=3.2,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.63-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 19.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 35.0(\mathrm{CH}), 36.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 44.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 45.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.5(\mathrm{CH}), 55.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 71.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 105.3(\mathrm{CH})$, $109.9(\mathrm{CH}), 112.4(\mathrm{CH}), 116.9(\mathrm{CH}), 129.0(\mathrm{CH}), 133.4(\mathrm{C}), 133.5$ (C), 141.2 (CH), 148.5 (C), 156.6 (C), 108.2 (C) ppm. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 74.31 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.42 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.13$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 74.60 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.38$; N, 4.12.

1-Hydroxy-5-(endo-2-furyl)-9-(exo-methyl)-8-oxa-3-bicyclo[4,2,1]nonanone (9a), The reaction was performed as described in the General Procedure for 4. Pentacarbonyl[1-methoxy-trans-3-(2-furyl)-2-propenylidene]chromium( 0 ) ( $\mathbf{2 a}$ ) ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.33 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was treated with ( $E$ ) -N -(2-methyl-1-methylene-4-(trimethylsiloxy)-2-butenyl)- N -methylaniline ( $\mathbf{1 h}$ ) ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.28 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ for 48 h to yield 0.10 g $(41 \%): R_{f}=0.25 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.19(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.13(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.54(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.66(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.79$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.72-2.85 (s broad, 1 H ), $2.98(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $15.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.17(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.19(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.97$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.19(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.2,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $3.18 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.18,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ppm ; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 14.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 40.6(\mathrm{CH}), 42.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 45.7(\mathrm{CH}), 49.2$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 57.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 67.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 104.2(\mathrm{C}), 104.9(\mathrm{CH}), 109.8(\mathrm{CH}), 141.2$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 155.9$ (C), 208.9 (C) ppm. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 66.09$; H, 6.83. Found: C, 65.91; H, 6.85 .

1-Hydroxy-9-(exo-methyl)-8-oxa-5-(endo-2-phenyl)-3-bicyclo[4,2,1]nonanone (9b), The reaction was performed as described in the General Procedure for 4. Pentacarbonyl[1-methoxy-trans-3-phenyl-2-propenylidene]chromium(0) ( $\mathbf{2 b}$ ) ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.34 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was treated with ( $E$ ) -N -(2-methyl-1-methylene-4-(trimethylsiloxy)-2-butenyl)- N -methylaniline ( 1 h ) ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.28 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ for 24 h to yield 0.09 g $(37 \%): R_{f}=0.30 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.20(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.23(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.28-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.5,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.83(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.85$ (s broad, 1 H$), 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $15.4,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.13-3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.5,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.05(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.16-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 14.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 45.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.3(\mathrm{CH}), 46.5(\mathrm{CH}), 52.9(\mathrm{CH}), 57.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 66.2$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 104.7(\mathrm{C}), 126.5(\mathrm{CH}), 126.7(\mathrm{CH}), 129.3(\mathrm{CH}), 143.1(\mathrm{C}), 210.3$ (C) ppm. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 73.15; H, 7.37. Found: C, 73.31; H, 7.40.

3( $S^{*}$ )-[1 ( $S^{*}$ )-(2-Furyl)-3-oxobutyl]-2( $R^{*}$ )-methyl-4-butanolide (11a), $9 \mathrm{a}(1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.24 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was dissolved in 10 mL of methanol and stirred until the TLC showed the absence of the starting material (ca. 48 h ). The solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure and the residue chromatographed in silica gel using hexane-ethyl acetate (3:2) to yield $0.20 \mathrm{~g}(85 \%): R_{f}=0.19 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.82(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.97$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.8,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.89(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.8,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.24-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.29(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 14.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 35.8(\mathrm{CH}), 38.2(\mathrm{CH}), 44.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $46.4(\mathrm{CH}), 69.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 106.7(\mathrm{CH}), 110.0(\mathrm{CH}), 141.4(\mathrm{CH}), 153.5$
(C), 179.0 (C), 205.6 (C) ppm; IR (neat) $1716,1772 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 66.09 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.83$. Found: C, $65.84 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.79$.
$\left.\mathbf{3 (} S^{*}\right)$-2 $\left(R^{*}\right)$-Methyl-[3-oxo-1 $\left(R^{*}\right)$-phenylbutyl]-4-butanolide (11b), The reaction was performed with $\mathbf{9 b}$ as described for $\mathbf{1 1 a} . \mathbf{9 b}(1 \mathrm{mmol}$, $0.25 \mathrm{~g})$ yielded $0.20 \mathrm{~g}(81 \%): R_{f}=0.24$; 'H NMR $\delta 0.72(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.1,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.7,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.98(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.7,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.25(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.4,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.98(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.1,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.1-7.4(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 15.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $30.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 39.2(\mathrm{CH}), 44.1(\mathrm{CH}), 47.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.5(\mathrm{CH}), 69.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 127.4 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 128.9 (CH), 140.9 (C), 179.4 (C), 206.1 (C) ppm. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 73.15; $\mathrm{H}, 7.37$. Found: C, 73.01; H, 7.35 .
( $1 R, 3 S, 4 R, 5 S, 6 R$ )-6-(2-Furyl)-5-(methoxymethyl)-4-methyl-1,3-cycloheptanediol (14), To a solution of $4 * \mathrm{a}(1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.25 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in 20 mL of dried THF cooled at $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise 2 equiv of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ 0.2 M in THF. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and then warmed to room temperature for an additional 3 h . The solution was hydrolyzed with 3 N aqueous NaOH , the organic layer separated, and the aqueous layer extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 20$ mL ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated. The resulting oil was chromatographed in hexane-ethyl acetate ( $1: 6$ ) to yield $0.18 \mathrm{~g} 71 \%: R_{f}=0.18 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.13(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.93-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.21(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.0,7.2,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.29-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.6,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.1,4.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.1,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.30-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.92$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.6,6.6,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.2,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 17.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 37.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.0(\mathrm{CH}), 42.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 43.4(\mathrm{CH})$, $46.2(\mathrm{CH}), 58.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 65.1(\mathrm{CH}), 67.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 70.6(\mathrm{CH}), 105.0(\mathrm{CH})$, $109.9(\mathrm{CH}), 140.7(\mathrm{CH}), 158.5(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{ppm} ;[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{456}-5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 6.4 \times\right.$ $10^{-3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, ee $90 \%$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 66.12 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.72$. Found: C, 66.40; H, 8.69.
(1R,3S,4R,5S,6R)-1,3-Bis((p-bromobenzoyl)oxy)-6-(2-furyl)-5-(methoxymethyl)-4-methylcycloheptane (15), To a solution of 13 ( $0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.06 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in 2 mL of dried pyridine was added a small crystal of 4 -( $N, N$-dimethylamino)pyridine and 2.2 equiv of $p$-bromobenzoyl chloride. The formed suspension is stirred at room temperature for 13 h , then the solvent was evaporated and the reaction crude dissolved in 5 mL of diethyl ether and washed with aqueous $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine. The organic layer was dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated. The crude was then chromatographed in silica gel using hexane-ethyl acetate (3:1) to yield $0.12 \mathrm{~g}(79 \%): R_{f}=0.18$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.15(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.31-$ $2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.0,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.49(\mathrm{~d}$, d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.7,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.62(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.7,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.49-5.52$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.59-5.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=3.2,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.60(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 14.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 34.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.3$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 40.7(\mathrm{CH}), 44.6(\mathrm{CH}), 58.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 69.4(\mathrm{CH}), 72.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 74.8$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 105.6(\mathrm{CH}), 110.1(\mathrm{CH}), 128.0(\mathrm{C}), 128.1(\mathrm{C}), 129.0(\mathrm{C}), 129.2$ (C), $131.0(\mathrm{CH}), 131.7(\mathrm{CH}), 131.8(\mathrm{CH}), 132.3(\mathrm{CH}), 140.8(\mathrm{CH})$, 156.9 (C), 164.9 (C), 165.1 (C) ppm $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-30\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 2.9 \times 10^{-3}\right.$ $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$, ee $90 \%$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Br}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 54.21 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.55$. Found: C, $54.00 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.58$. UV ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\lambda_{\text {max }} 243 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 38200)$. $\mathrm{CD}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \lambda_{\text {ext }}(\Delta \epsilon) 275(+1.0), 249(-16.6), 239(0.0), 233(+6.7)$ nm.
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